arXiv:0804.1791v2 [math.CV] 14 Apr 2008

Multidimensional Version of Lagrange's Problem on Mean Motion

S. Favorov

Abstract

The famous mean motion problem which goes back to Lagrange as follows: to prove that any exponential polynomial with exponents on the imaginary axis has an average speed for the amplitude, whenever the variable moves along a horizontal line. It was completely proved by B. Jessen and H. Tornehave in Acta Math.77, 1945. Here we give its multidimensional version.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32A05, Secondary 30B50, 32A60

Keywords: exponential polynomial, Lagrange's conjecture, mean motion

Consider an exponential polynomial

$$f(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j e^{i\lambda_j z}, \quad c_j\in\mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_j\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

J.L. Lagrange [11] assumes that for each fixed $y\in\mathbb{R}$ there exist the limits

$$c^{+}(y)=\lim_{\beta-\alpha\to\infty}\frac{\Delta_{\alpha<x<\beta}\arg^{+} f(x+iy)}{\beta-\alpha} \tag{2}$$

and

$$c^{-}(y)=\lim_{\beta-\alpha\to\infty}\frac{\Delta_{\alpha<x<\beta}\arg^{-} f(x+iy)}{\beta-\alpha}. \tag{3}$$

Here $\arg^{+} f(x+iy)$ and $\arg^{-} f(x+iy)$ are branches of $\arg f(x+iy)$, which are continuous in x on every interval without zeros of P and have the jumps

$-p\pi$ and $+p\pi$, respectively, at zeros of multiplicity p, $\Delta^{\pm}_{\alpha<x<\beta}$ are increments of the functions $\arg^{\pm} f(x+iy)$ on $(\alpha,\, \beta)$.

J.L. Lagrange proves his conjecture when the absolute value of one of the coefficients in (1) is greater than the sum of the absolute values of the other coefficients. Moreover, if this is the case, then

$$\arg^{+} f(x+iy) = c^{+}x+O(1), \quad \arg^{-} f(x+iy) = c^{-}x+O(1) \quad (x\to\infty), \tag{4}$$

besides, the mean motions $c^{+}(y)$ and $c^{-}(y)$ are equal. He also notes that in the case $N=2$ with arbitrary terms in (1), the equalities (4) hold as well, but c^{+} and c^{-} may be different (for example, in the case $f(z)=\sin z$).

Note that (4) is false for sums (1) in the case $N>2$ (see F. Bernstein [2]). On the other hand, H. Bohr in [1] proves (4) with $c^{+}=c^{-}$ for almost periodic functions f on $\mathbb{R}$ under the condition

$$|f| \geq \kappa > 0. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, he shows that in this case the term $O(1)$ in (4) is an almost periodic function as well. Then B. Jessen [7] proves that for holomorphic almost periodic functions in a strip $\{z=x+iy:\ a<y<b\}$ limits (2) and (3) exist for all $y\in(a,\, b)$ outside of a countable set. Moreover, he establishes a connection of mean motions with distributions of zeros of f.

Lagrange's Conjecture for exponential polynomials is proved by H. Weil [17] in the case of linearly independent $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_N$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, and by B. Jessen and H. Tornehave [8] in the general case (see the detailed history in the introduction to [8]). Also, they prove that the equality $c^{+}(y)=c^{-}(y)$ for exponential polynomials remains true for all $y\in\mathbb{R}$ outside of a discrete set without finite limit points.

Bohr's result holds true in the multidimensional case: for any almost periodic function in $\mathbb{R}^p$ with condition (5) we have

$$f(x) = \exp\{i\langle c, x\rangle + g(x)\},$$

where g is almost periodic in $x\in\mathbb{R}^p$, c is a vector from $\mathbb{R}^p$ (L.Ronkin [14]). In [13], [3], and [4] one can find various relations between mean motions and distribution of zero sets for holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains.

Nevertheless, I don't know papers about Lagrange's conjecture for exponential polynomials in several variables, although there are a lot of papers devoted to properties of such polynomials (see, for example, [5], [9], [10], [12]). The result of the present paper solves Lagrange's problem in arbitrary dimension.

Notations. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ put $'x = (x_2, \dots, x_p)$, for $z = (z_1, \dots, z_p) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ put $z = x + iy$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^p$. By $\langle x,\, y\rangle$ or $\langle z,\, w\rangle$ denote the scalar product for vectors from $\mathbb{R}^p$ or the Hermitian scalar product for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $|a|$ means the Euclidean norm of the vector a in $\mathbb{C}^d$ or in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Also, by m_d denote the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 1, 2, \dots$.

In the sequel we will use the following well known result[1].

Proposition. *For any analytic set M in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ and any hyperplane $L = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^d :\, y \equiv y^0\}$ we have $m_d(M \cap L) = 0$.*

Theorem. *For each exponential polynomial*

$$P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{S} c_j e^{i\langle z,\, \lambda^j\rangle}, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{C},\, \lambda^j \in \mathbb{R}^p,\, \lambda^j \neq \lambda^{j'}\, for\, j \neq j'.$$

and each $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, there exist the limits

$$\lim_{\min_j(\beta_j - \alpha_j)\to\infty} \prod_{1\le j\le p} (\beta_j - \alpha_j)^{-1} \int_{\Pi^{(p-1)}('\alpha,\, '\beta)} \Delta_{\alpha_1 < x_1 < \beta_1} \arg^+ P(x + iy)\, d\,'x$$

and

$$\lim_{\min_j(\beta_j - \alpha_j)\to\infty} \prod_{1\le j\le p} (\beta_j - \alpha_j)^{-1} \int_{\Pi^{(p-1)}('\alpha,\, '\beta)} \Delta_{\alpha_1 < x_1 < \beta_1} \arg^- P(x + iy)\, d\,'x.$$

Here $\alpha,\, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\alpha_j < \beta_j\, \forall j$, and $\Pi^{(p-1)}('\alpha, '\beta) = \{'x \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1} :\, \alpha_j < x_j < \beta_j,\, j = 2, \dots, p\}$.

Similar to the one-dimensional case, the proof of the Theorem is based on the following lemma.

---

[1] It can be proved easily by induction in d. Also, see [15], Ch.2, §2.

Lemma 1 (for $p=1$ see [16]). *Suppose* $g(u)$, $u=(u_1,\dots,u_N)$, *is a* 2π-*periodic function in each variable* $u_1,\dots,u_N$, *and* $\mu^1,\dots,\mu^N\in\mathbb{R}^p$ *are linearly independent over* $\mathbb{Z}$. *If* $g(u)$ *is integrable in the sense of Riemann on* $[0,\,2\pi]^N$, *then the limit*

$$\lim_{\min_j(\beta_j-\alpha_j)\to\infty}\prod_j(\beta_j-\alpha_j)^{-1}\int_{\Pi^{(p)}(\alpha,\beta)} g(\langle\mu^1,x\rangle,\dots,\langle\mu^N,x\rangle)\,dx \tag{6}$$

exists and equals the average $\mathbf{M}g$ *of the function* $g(u)$ *over the cube* $[0,\,2\pi]^N$.

Proof. The proof of the Lemma is the same as in the one–dimensional case.

We may assume that g is a real-valued function. If g is a trigonometric polynomial of the form

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^N} b_k e^{i\langle k,\,u\rangle}, \tag{7}$$

then its average equals the coefficient b_0. Since $k_1\mu^1+\ldots+k_N\mu^N=0$ only for the case $k=(k_1,\dots,k_N)=0$, we see that (6) equals b_0 as well.

Furthermore, an arbitrary continuous 2π-periodic in each variable function g can be uniformly approximated by polynomials (7), hence we obtain the conclusion of the Lemma in this case too.

Finally, for any integrable in the sense of Riemann function g and any $\varepsilon>0$ there are continuous 2π-periodic in each variable functions $g_\varepsilon(u)\le g(u)$ and $g^\varepsilon(u)\ge g(u)$ such that

$$\mathbf{M}g^e\le\mathbf{M}g+\varepsilon,\quad \mathbf{M}g_e\ge\mathbf{M}g-\varepsilon.$$

Then we get

$$\limsup_{\min_j(\beta_j-\alpha_j)\to\infty}\prod_j(\beta_j-\alpha_j)^{-1}\int_{\Pi^{(p)}(\alpha,\beta)} g(\langle\mu^1,x\rangle,\dots,\langle\mu^N,x\rangle)\,dm_p(x)\le\mathbf{M}g^\varepsilon,$$

$$\liminf_{\min_j(\beta_j-\alpha_j)\to\infty}\prod_j(\beta_j-\alpha_j)^{-1}\int_{\Pi^{(p)}(\alpha,\beta)} g(\langle\mu^1,x\rangle,\dots,\langle\mu^N,x\rangle)\,dm_p(x)\ge\mathbf{M}g_\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary small, we obtain the assertion of the Lemma.

We also need the following simple assertion.

Lemma 2. *For any real numbers* $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ *there is a constant* $C < \infty$ *such that the number of zeros in the segment* $[-1,\, 1]$ *of an arbitrary exponential polynomial* $g(s) \not\equiv 0$ *of the form*

$$q(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k e^{i\gamma_k s}, \quad a_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

does not exceed C.

Proof of the Lemma. Collecting similar terms, rewrite $q(s)$ in the form

$$q(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n'} a'_k e^{i\gamma'_k s}, \quad \gamma'_k \neq \gamma'_l \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq l.$$

We may suppose $\sum_k |a'_k| = 1$. The functions $e^{i\gamma'_k s}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, if at least one of the coefficient a'_k does not vanish, then $g(s) \not\equiv 0$. Using Hurwitz' theorem, we obtain an easy proof of the Lemma by contradiction.

Proof of the Theorem.

Let $\mu^1, \ldots, \mu^N$ be a basis of the group $\mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^S\}$. Therefore,

$$\lambda^j = \sum_{r=1}^{N} k_{r,j}\mu^r, \quad k_{r,j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \le j \le S,\, 1 \le r \le N.$$

Set for $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$

$$F(z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^{S} c_j \exp\{i\langle z, \lambda^j\rangle + i\sum_{r=1}^{N} k_{r,j} w_r\}.$$

The function $F(z,\, u)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$, is 2π-periodic in each variable $u_1, \ldots, u_N$ and

$$F(t + iy, \langle\mu^1, x\rangle, \ldots, \langle\mu^N, x\rangle) = P(x + iy + t) \quad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R}^p. \tag{8}$$

Fix $y = y^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Note that the set

$$M = \{w \in \mathbb{C}^N : \, F(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w) = 0 \quad \forall\, z_1 \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

$$= \{w \in \mathbb{C}^N : \, 0 = F(0, i'y^{(0)}, w) = F'_{z_1}(0, i'y^{(0)}, w) = F''_{z_1}(0, i'y^{(0)}, w) = \ldots\}$$

is closed and analytic in $\mathbb{C}^N$, therefore, by the Proposition, $m_N(M\cap\mathbb{R}^N)=0$. Consider the functions

$$I^+(u)=\Delta_{-1/2<x_1<1/2}\arg^+ F(x_1+iy_1^{(0)},i'y^{(0)},u).$$

and

$$I^-(u)=\Delta_{-1/2<x_1<1/2}\arg^- F(x_1+iy_1^{(0)},i'y^{(0)},u).$$

We will suppose that $I^+(u)\equiv I^-(u)\equiv 0$ if $u\in M\cap\mathbb{R}^N$.

Let us prove that these functions are uniformly bounded and continuous almost everywhere in $u\in[0,\,2\pi]^N$.

If $F(x_1+iy_1^{(0)},i'y^{(0)},u^{(0)})\neq 0$ for all $x_1\in[-1/2,\,1/2]$, then the function $F'_z(x_1+iy_1^{(0)},i'y^{(0)},u)/F(x_1+iy_1^{(0)},i'y^{(0)},u)$ is continuous and uniformly bounded in $x\in[-1/2,\,1/2]$ and u belonging to a neighborhood of $u^{(0)}$. Hence the functions $I^+(u)$ and $I^-(u)$ are equal, uniformly bounded and continuous in this neighborhood.

Suppose that $u^{(0)}\notin M\cap\mathbb{R}^N$ and $F(x_1^{(1)}+iy_1^{(0)},i'y^{(0)},u^{(0)})=0$ at a point $x_1^{(1)}\in[-1/2,\,1/2]$. Since $F(z_1,i'y^{(0)},u^{(0)})\not\equiv 0$, we can use the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem (see, for example, [6]). Hence there are $\varepsilon>0$, $\delta>0$, and pseudopolynomial

$$P_1(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w)=(z_1-x_1^{(1)}-iy_1^{(0)})^r+a_1(w)(z_1-x_1^{(1)}-iy_1^{(0)})^{r-1}+\ldots+a_r(w) \tag{9}$$

with holomorphic in the ball $\{w:\,|w-u^{(0)}|<\varepsilon\}$ coefficients $a_j(w)$ such that

$$a_j(u^{(0)})=0,\quad j=1,\ldots,r, \tag{10}$$

and

$$F(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w)=P_1(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w)F_1(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w),\quad F_1(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w)\neq 0$$

in the set $\{(z_1,w):\,|w-u^{(0)}|<\varepsilon,\,|z_1-x_1^{(1)}-iy_1^{(0)}|<\delta\}$.

Note that for a sufficiently small ε each zero of $P_1(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w)$ belongs to the disc $|z_1-x_1^{(1)}-iy_1^{(0)}|<\delta$. Hence the function $F_1=F/P_1$ is holomorphic in the set $\{(z_1,w):\,z_1\in\mathbb{C},\,|w-u^{(0)}|<\varepsilon\}$.

Let $x_1^{(2)}$ be another point of the segment $[-1/2,1/2]$ such that $F(x_1^{(2)}+iy_1^{(0)},i'y^{(0)},u^{(0)})=0$. Using the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem for $F_1(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w)$ in a neighborhood of the point $(x_1^{(2)}+iy_1^{(0)},u^{(0)})$, we get

$$F_1(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w)=P_2(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w)F_2(z_1,i'y^{(0)},w).$$

Here $P_2(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w)$ has the form (9) with $x_1^{(2)}$ instead of $x_1^{(1)}$, the function $F_2(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w)$ is holomorphic in the set $\{(z_1, w) : z_1 \in \mathbb{C}, |w - u^{(0)}| < \varepsilon\}$ and has not zeros in the set $\{(z_1, w) : |w - u^{(0)}| < \varepsilon, |z_1 - x_1^{(2)} - iy_1^{(0)}| < \delta\}$. Continuing in the same way, we get the representation

$$F(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w) = P_1(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w) \cdot \ldots \cdot P_s(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w)G(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w), \quad (11)$$

where the pseudopolynomials P_j have form (9) with various points $\tilde{x}_1 \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ instead of $x_1^{(1)}$, their coefficients satisfy (10), and the holomorphic function $G(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w)$ does not vanish in a neighborhood of the set $\{(z_1, w) : x_1 \in [-1/2, 1/2], y_1 = y_1^{(0)}, w = u^{(0)}\}$. Since each pseudopolynomial P_j is a product of irreducible pseudopolynomials of form (9) with conditions (10) (see, for example, [6]), we may assume that all pseudopolynomials P_j in (11) are irreducible. Also, we can rewrite (11) in the form

$$F(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w) = (z_1 - b_1(w))^{t_1} \cdots (z_1 - b_k(w))^{t_k} G(z_1, i'y^{(0)}, w), \quad (12)$$

where $b_n(w)$, $n = 1, \ldots, k$, are analytic multifunctions in some neighborhood U of the point $u^{(0)}$.

Since the functions $\{F(s + iy_1^{(0)}, i'y^{(0)}, u)\}_{u \in \mathbb{R}^N}$ satisfy the condition of Lemma 2, we see that the number of zeros $t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_k$ is bounded from above uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus M$. Since an increment of the amplitude of any linear multiplier along any segment is at most π, we see that the functions $I^+(u)$ and $I^-(u)$ are bounded uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Furthermore, note that the discriminant $d_P(w)$ of a pseudopolynomial P of the form (9) is a holomorphic function in U. If P is irreducible, then $d_P(w) \not\equiv 0$ (see, for example, [6]). Set

$$M_1 = \{w \in U : F(-1/2, i'y^{(0)}, w)F(1/2, i'y^{(0)}, w)d_{P_1}(w) \cdots d_{P_r}(w) = 0\}.$$

By the Proposition, $m_N(M_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^N) = 0$. Take a point $u^{(1)} \in (U \setminus M_1) \cap \mathbb{R}^N$. Then there is a neighborhood $U_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ of $u^{(1)}$ such that for each point $w \in U_1$ every pseudopolynomial $P_m(z, i'y^{(0)}, w)$ has only simple zeros in $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence for $w \in U_1$ representation (12) holds with mutual different functions $b_n(w)$. We shall prove that each function $\Delta_{-1/2<x<1/2} \arg^{\pm}(x + iy - b_n(u))$ is continuous at points of the set $U_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^N$.

Note that $F(\pm 1/2 + iy_1^{(0)}, i'y^{(0)}, u^{(1)}) \neq 0$. Hence for sufficiently small U_1 the functions $b_n(w)$ take U_1 to the set $\{z_1 : |x_1| < 1/2, |y_1 - y_1^{(0)}| < \delta\}$. Set

$$b_n'(w) = (b_n(w) + \overline{b_n(\bar{w})})/2, \quad b_n''(w) = (b_n(w) - \overline{b_n(\bar{w})})/2i,$$

Note that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have $b'_n(u) = \mathrm{Re} b_n(u)$, $b''_n(u) = \mathrm{Im} b_n(u)$.

If $b''_n(w) \not\equiv y_1^{(0)}$ for $w \in U_1$, then, by the Proposition, $m_N(\{w : b''_n(w) = y_1^{(0)}\} \cap \mathbb{R}^N) = 0$. Hence for almost all points $u \in U_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^N$ the function $x_1 + iy_1^{(0)} - b_n(u)$ does not vanish for $x_1 \in [-1/2,\, 1/2]$, then the functions $\Delta_{-1/2<x_1<1/2} \arg^+(x_1 + iy_1^{(0)} - b_n(u))$ and $\Delta_{-1/2<x_1<1/2} \arg^-(x_1 + iy_1^{(0)} - b_n(u))$ are continuous and coincide almost everywhere on the $U_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^N$.

Now consider the case $b''_n(w) \equiv y_1^{(0)}$ for $w \in U_1$. Since the function $b'_n(u)$ is continuous in u, we see that the function $x_1 + iy_1^{(0)} - b_n(u) = x_1 - b'_n(u)$ of the variable x_1 has exactly one simple zero for all u in a neighborhood U_2 of a point $u^{(2)} \in U_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^N$. Hence, $\Delta_{-1/2<x_1<1/2} \arg^+(x_1 + iy_1 - b_n(u)) \equiv -\pi$ and $\Delta_{-1/2<x_1<1/2} \arg^-(x_1 + iy_1 - b_n(u)) \equiv \pi$ for all $u \in U_2$.

Since $u^{(0)}$ is an arbitrary point of $[0,\, 2\pi]^N \setminus M$, we see that the functions $I^+(u)$ and $I^-(u)$ are bounded and continuous almost everywhere in this cube. Therefore, these functions are integrable in the sense of Riemann over $[0,\, 2\pi]^N$.

Furthermore, by (8), we get

$$F(s + iy_1^{(0)}, i'y^{(0)}, \langle \mu^1, x\rangle, \dots, \langle \mu^N, x\rangle) = P((s,'\,0) + x + iy^{(0)}).$$

Applying Lemma 1 to the functions $\Delta_{-1/2<s<1/2} \arg^\pm F(s + iy_1^{(0)}, i'y^{(0)}, u)$, we obtain that the limits

$$\lim_{\min_j(\beta_j-\alpha_j)\to\infty} \frac{\int_{\Pi^{(p)}(\alpha,\beta)} \Delta_{-1/2<s<1/2} \arg^+ P((s,'\,0) + x + iy^{(0)})\, dx}{(\beta_1-\alpha_1)(\beta_2-\alpha_2)\cdots(\beta_p-\alpha_p)} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\lim_{\min_j(\beta_j-\alpha_j)\to\infty} \frac{\int_{\Pi^{(p)}(\alpha,\beta)} \Delta_{-1/2<s<1/2} \arg^- P((s,'\,0) + x + iy^{(0)})\, dx}{(\beta_1-\alpha_1)(\beta_2-\alpha_2)\cdots(\beta_p-\alpha_p)} \tag{14}$$

exist. Since the increments $\Delta_{-1/2<s<\gamma} \arg^\pm P((s,'\,0) + x + iy^{(0)}) = \Delta_{-1/2<s<\gamma} \arg^\pm F((s,'\,0) + iy^{(0)}, \langle \mu^1, x\rangle, \dots, \langle \mu^N, x\rangle)$ are uniformly bounded in $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$, and $-1/2 < \gamma \le 1/2$, we see that the differences

$$\arg^\pm P((s,'\,0) + x + iy^{(0)}) - \int_{s-1/2}^{s+1/2} \arg^\pm P(x + iy^{(0)})\, dx_1$$

are uniformly bounded as well. Therefore, up to a uniformly bounded term,

$$\arg^\pm P((\beta_1,'\,x) + iy^{(0)}) - \arg^\pm P((\alpha_1,'\,x) + iy^{(0)})$$

$$= \int_{\beta_1-1/2}^{\beta_1+1/2} \arg^{\pm} P((x_1,' x) + iy^{(0)})\, dx_1 - \int_{\alpha_1-1/2}^{\alpha_1+1/2} \arg^{\pm} P((x_1,' x) + iy^{(0)})\, dx_1$$

$$= \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \Delta_{-1/2<s<1/2} \arg^{\pm} P((s,' 0) + x + iy^{(0)})\, dx_1.$$

Cosequently, (2) and (3) exist and equal (13) and (14), respectively. Theorem is proved.

References

[1] H. Bohr *Kleinere Beiltrage zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen, I* Acta math. V.45 (1924), 29-127.

[2] F. Bernstein *Über eine Anwendung der Mengenlehre auf ein aus der Theorie der säkularen Störungen herrührendes Problem*, Math. ann., 77. (1912), 417-439.

[3] S. Yu. Favorov *Holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains and their amoebas* Computational Methods and Function Theory v.1, No.2 (2001), 403-415.

[4] S. Yu. Favorov, N. P. Girya *A multidimensional version of Levin's Secular Constant Theorem and its Applications* Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry. V.3, No.3 (2007), 365-377.

[5] O.A. Gelfond *Roots of systems of almost periodic polynomials* Preprint FIAN SSSR, no. 200 1978 (Russian).

[6] M. Herve. *Several Complex Variables. Local Theory* Oxford University Press, Bombay, 1963.

[7] B. Jessen *Über die Nullstellen einer analitischen fastperiodischen Funktions, Eine Verallagemeinerung der Jensenschen Formel* Math. Ann. 108 (1933), 485-516.

[8] B. Jessen, H. Tornehave *Mean motions and zeros of almost periodic functions* Acta Math. 77. (1945), 137-279.

[9] B.Ja. Kazarnovskii *Zeros of exponential sums* Dokl. AN SSSR V. 257, No.4 (1981), 804-808 (Russian).

[10] B.Ja. Kazarnovskii *Newton polyhedron and roots of exponential sums* Funk. Analis i pril. V.18, No.4 (1984), 40-49 (Russian).

[11] J.L. Lagrange *Théorie des variations séqulaires des éléments des planètes I, II* Nouveaux Mémorires de l'Académie de Berlin (1781, 1782), 123-344.

[12] M. Forsberg, M. Passare, A. Tsikh *Laurent determinants and arrangement of hyperplane amoebas* Adv. Math. 151, No.1 (2000), 45-70.

[13] L.I. Ronkin. *Jessen's theorem for holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains.* Sib.Math.Zhurn, V. 28, No.3, (1987), 199-204 (Russian)

[14] L.I. Ronkin. *On a certain class of holomorphic almost periodic functions.* Sib.Math.Zhurn,, V.33, No. (1992), 135-141 (Russian)

[15] L.I. Ronkin. *Introduction to the theory of entire functions of several variables* Translations of Math. Monographs, V.44, AMS, Providence, R.1 1974.

[16] H. Weyl *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod Eins* Math.Ann., 77 (1916), 313-352.

[17] H. Weyl *Mean Motion I.* Amer.J.Math., V.60, (1938), 889-896.

Mathematical School, Kharkov national university, Swobody sq.4, Kharkov, 61077 Ukraine
e-mail: Sergey.Ju.Favorov@univer.kharkov.ua